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Abstract

The annual pattern of above-ground live biomass, recent dead standing biomass, old dead standing biomass, and standing crop of big sacaton (Sporobolus wrightii Monro) grassland community in semiarid Arizona was studied over a 3-year period. Live biomass was produced throughout the year but peak production, over the 3 years, was in August. Peak biomass production was 296 g m⁻² in 1 wet summer and averaged 133 g m⁻² over 2 dry summers. Recent dead standing biomass was greatest in spring and least in summer, over the 3 years. Transfer of recent dead standing biomass to old dead standing biomass was precipitation and temperature dependent. Old dead standing biomass was greatest in summer, least in winter, and was primarily composed of dead seed stalks. Livestock management of big sacaton grasslands should possibly be distinct from adjacent upland areas.

Big sacaton (Sporobolus wrightii Monro) is a robust perennial warm-season bunchgrass that begins growth in early spring and usually produces a limited amount of green herbage in winter (Haferkamp 1982). The species grows on low alluvial flats and flood plains (Wooten and Standley 1912). It usually is excluded from alkaline and saline playas and lowlands dominated by alkali sacaton [Sporobolus airoides (Torr.) Torr.]. Big sacaton is distributed from southeastern Arizona to central Texas and south into the northern Mexican Frontier States; however, stand development is greatest in southeastern Arizona (Kearney and Peebles 1960).

Dense stands of big sacaton formerly dominated alluvial flats and bottomlands along the Santa Cruz and San Pedro Rivers in Southeastern Arizona and supported more than a million cattle in 1890 (Humphrey 1958). Big sacaton bottoms have been, and continue to be burned and grazed (Bock and Bock 1978), plowed and sown to agricultural crops (Griffiths 1901), and covered with houses and asphalt (Renard et al. 1983). Today the species occupies less than 5% of its original area (Humphrey 1960). Big sacaton stands were usually associated with perennial, surface water and new growth was readily consumed by livestock in spring when upland grasses were dormant (Thorner 1910). Dead standing big sacaton biomass is coarse and stands have been burned or mowed in either late fall, winter, or early spring for at least the past 100 years (Thorner 1910, Humphrey 1970).

The animal-carrying capacity of semiarid grazing lands is dependent on net primary production and the amount of plant biomass which is available to be converted into animal biomass. Previous investigators have been interested in big sacaton production after natural and man-caused fires (Humphrey 1960, Bock and Bock 1978, Gavin 1982). However, net primary production rates under nonburned conditions have not been measured. The first step in a program to evaluate carrying capacity should be to quantify the annual accumulation and decomposition characteristics of live biomass and standing litter in big sacaton grassland communities.
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This paper reports on studies in which the above-ground live biomass production, recent dead standing biomass, and old dead standing biomass were examined over 3 years. The relative differences in yield accumulation and decomposition in response to climate were also studied.

**Study Area**

A study site representative of the big sacaton grassland ecosystem was located near the town of Sonoita in southeastern Arizona (31° 47' N latitude, 110° 37' longitude) at an elevation of 1,370 m. Soils were recent alluvium, weathered from mixed rocks, moderately alkaline, slightly calcareous and greater than 2 m in depth. The soil has been classified as Pima silty clay loam, sandy loam subsoil, thermic Typic Hapludoll (Richardson et al. 1979). The site was in a flood plain and slope was 1 to 2%.

Vegetation was dominated by big sacaton. Other perennial grasses, which occurred infrequently, were alkali sacaton, blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis (Willd. ex H.B.K.) Lag. ex Griffiths), and vine mesquite (Prosopis juliflora (SW.) DC.). Perennial trees were mesquite (Prosopis juliflora (SW.) DC.), cottonwood (Populus deltoides Bartr. ex Marsh.) and walnut (Juglans major (Torr.) Heller). Tree heights varied from 6 to 12 m along the riparian channel. The study site was 50 m from the channel and there were several large mesquite trees; either within or in close proximity to the site. Total annual precipitation in the area has varied from 175 to 450 mm in the past 50 years (Sellers and Hill 1974). Approximately 60% of the annual precipitation occurs in summer (July-September) when daily air temperatures are above 30° C; 40% comes in winter (December-February) when night-time air temperatures are frequently below 0° C. Fall (October-November) and spring (March-June) are cool, dry and windy.

**Methods**

The site was fenced to exclude livestock and was approximately 2 ha in area. Nine, 15 × 15 m plots were established in a randomized block design with 3 replications. Three plots were randomly selected for sampling at 6-week intervals between 6 March 1980 and 6 February 1981. Three additional plots were selected and sampled between 6 March 1981 and 6 February 1982. The remaining 3 plots were sampled between 6 March 1982 and 6 February 1983.

Twenty 0.3 X 2.9 m quadrats were located randomly within each plot at each sampling date; no quadrat location was resampled at any other time during the course of the experiment. Big sacaton plants in quadrats 1, 5, 10, and 15 were harvested at the soil surface and hand separated into live biomass (green), recent dead standing biomass (yellow), and old dead standing biomass (gray) components. Each component was weighed in the field and a modified weight-estimate technique used to estimate biomass in the remaining quadrats (Pechanec and Pickford 1937). Harvested samples were dried in a forced draft oven at 40° C for 48 hr. Dry weights of samples were accumulated for the 6 weeks prior to sampling. Daytime and night-time temperature extremes were summed over the 6 weeks and divided by total days to obtain a daily average.

Experimental design was a randomized block with 3 replications. Different plots were sampled in each of the 3 years. Live biomass, recent dead standing biomass and old dead standing biomass components, and standing crop were compared at the same date between years by analysis of variance. When F values were significant (P≤0.05) a Duncan's new multiple range test was used to separate means (Steel and Torrie 1960).

**Results**

**Above-ground Live Production**

Live biomass production showed a pronounced seasonal pattern (Table I). Big sacaton above-ground live production peaked in either August or October and averaged 163 to 197 g m⁻² over the 3 years. Corresponding values for winter and early spring (December-March) averaged 17 to 29 g m⁻².

Live biomass production between years was different (P≤0.05) only during August and October (Table I). Total precipitation over the 12-week summer growing season (10 July - 2 October) was 165 mm in 1980, 228 mm in 1981 and 147 mm in 1982. Average live biomass produced during the 12-week summer growing season was 153 g m⁻² in 1980, 273 g m⁻² in 1981, and 114 g m⁻² in 1982.

Precipitation was 11% greater and peak live biomass was 25% greater in 1980 as compared to 1982, while precipitation was 35% greater and peak live biomass was 58% greater in 1981 as compared to 1982.

*The mention of a commercial product is for the reader's convenience and does not imply endorsement by the USDA, Agricultural Research Service.*
Live biomass production was similar for the November to July sampling dates over the 3 years (Table 1). Although the amount and distribution of precipitation in fall, winter and spring differed among years, there were no significant differences in live production because temperatures were less than optimum for plant growth (Fig. 1).

Above-ground Recent Dead Standing Biomass
Recent dead accumulations peaked in March, in 2 of 3 years, and averaged 153 g m$^{-2}$ over the 3 years (Table 1). Corresponding values in August averaged 25 g m$^{-2}$. Peak live biomass production decreased as precipitation and air temperature decreased (Fig. 1), and recent dead biomass increased in fall and winter. Recent dead biomass accumulations generally increased through fall and winter, gradually increased or decreased in spring, and rapidly declined during the summer growing season.

Recent dead accumulations and transfer to old dead were different ($P<0.05$) among years at all November to July sampling dates and similar when live biomass production peaked (Table 1). Recent dead accumulations in fall, winter, and spring were highly variable and the rate of transfer to old dead biomass was dependent upon the presence or absence of precipitation and cold air temperatures (Fig. 1). The rate of transfer was more uniform in summer due to the amount and distribution of precipitation and high air temperatures.

Above-ground Old Dead Standing Biomass
Old dead biomass accumulations peaked in either March or April and averaged 284 g m$^{-2}$ over the 3 years (Table 1). Old dead generally decreased in fall and winter, and gradually increased or decreased in spring and summer.

Old dead biomass accumulations were greater ($P<0.05$) on March to August sampling dates in 1980 and 1982 as compared to the same dates in 1981 (Table 1). Spring and summer precipitation was greater than 50% above average in 1981 and termite (Gathani termes perplexus) colonies removed the old standing dead seed stalks. Big sacaton seed stalks are high in lignin (Gavin 1982) and may persist for 3 or more years.

Table 1. Quantities (g m$^{-2}$) of live, recent dead standing, old dead standing and standing crop of big sacaton (Sporobolus wrightii Monro.) sampled over three years in southeastern Arizona.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Dates$^{1}$</th>
<th>March 6</th>
<th>April 17</th>
<th>May 29</th>
<th>July 21</th>
<th>August 2</th>
<th>October 13</th>
<th>November 24</th>
<th>December 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live</td>
<td>166$^{a}$</td>
<td>139$^{b}$</td>
<td>128$^{a}$</td>
<td>139$^{a}$</td>
<td>33$^{a}$</td>
<td>72$^{b}$</td>
<td>105$^{b}$</td>
<td>139$^{b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent dead standing</td>
<td>202$^{a}$</td>
<td>190$^{a}$</td>
<td>199$^{b}$</td>
<td>217$^{a}$</td>
<td>177$^{a}$</td>
<td>224$^{a}$</td>
<td>177$^{b}$</td>
<td>245$^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old dead standing</td>
<td>125$^{b}$</td>
<td>86$^{b}$</td>
<td>97$^{b}$</td>
<td>108$^{b}$</td>
<td>117$^{b}$</td>
<td>75$^{b}$</td>
<td>112$^{b}$</td>
<td>147$^{b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing crop</td>
<td>378$^{a}$</td>
<td>389$^{a}$</td>
<td>413$^{a}$</td>
<td>366$^{a}$</td>
<td>348$^{a}$</td>
<td>397$^{a}$</td>
<td>336$^{a}$</td>
<td>349$^{a}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{1}$Means in the same column followed by different superscripts within components are significantly different ($P<0.05$).

Standing crop was highly variable between years and sampling dates (Table 1). Generally, standing crop was greatest in spring and summer and least in fall and winter. Peak standing crop, over the 3 years, occurred in August and averaged 415 g m$^{-2}$.

Discussion
Gavin (1982) measured big sacaton live and total above-ground standing biomass production in west Texas (30° 05' latitude, 103° 75' longitude) in 1978. Soils, slopes, air temperature, precipitation, and elevation are similar to those of the Arizona site, but the sites are separated by 700 km. Peak live biomass production occurred in late August, at both sites, and was 266 g m$^{-2}$ in Texas as compared to the 3 year mean of 197 g m$^{-2}$ in Arizona.

Above-ground net primary production (ANP) or big sacaton peak standing crop was 434 g m$^{-2}$ in Texas (Gavin 1982) and averaged 415 g m$^{-2}$ over the 3 years, in Arizona. ANP of 10 North American ungrazed temperate grasslands averaged 236 g m$^{-2}$ and ranged from 54 to 523 g m$^{-2}$ (Sims and Singh 1978). Mean sacaton ANP was intermediate between the mid- and tall-grass prairies.

In the past big sacaton communities, in lowland areas, received additional moisture in the form of runoff from nearby uplands and flood water from more distant mountainous areas (Renard et al. 1983). These processes which supplied additional moisture have been greatly reduced due to the formation of gullies and channels which rapidly drain water at the Arizona and Texas (Gavin 1982) sites. It seems reasonable to assume that the production potential would be much greater if runoff was moved out of existing channels and widely dispersed over big sacaton communities.

A major problem associated with the cattle industry in semiarid regions subject to periodic drought is how best to relate annual stocking rates to live biomass production (Christie 1981). Because little live biomass is produced in response to cool-season moisture, it would appear that stocking rates should be adjusted to the available forage at the end of summer, since the major portion of the live biomass is produced in mid summer. However, at this time livestock prefer the less coarse upland grasses, even though big sacaton herbage is abundant and nutritious. One possible management option might be to exclude big sacaton communities from surrounding uplands with fencing.
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